# RESEARCH ON METHOD OF INTERACTIVE SEGMENTATION BASED ON REMOTE SENSING IMAGES

Yi Yang, Haitao Li, Yanshun Han, Fan Yu

Institute of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Chinese Academy of Surveying and Mapping Yangyi@casm.ac.cn lhtao@casm.ac.cn hys\_han@casm.ac.cn yufan@casm.ac.cn

KEY WORDS: Interactive Segmentation, Intelligent Scissors, Graph cut, GrabCut

## **ABSTRACT:**

In this paper, we aim to solve the object extraction problem in remote sensing images using interactive segmentation tools. Firstly, an overview of the interactive segmentation algorithm is proposed. Then, our detailed implementation of intelligent scissors and GrabCut for remote sensing images is described. Finally, several experiments on different typical features (water area, vegetation) in remote sensing images are performed respectively. Compared with the manual result, it indicates that our tools maintain good feature boundaries and show good performance.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Image segmentation is an important but challenging problem in image processing. The aim of image segmentation is separating the desired object from background and obtaining accurate boundaries. Although automatic image segmentation have gained much success and been applied to many applications, it is still difficult to avoid separating the whole object into different parts. Therefore, interactive segmentation with a few simple user inputs would be a better choice.

Interactive segmentation can accurately extract the object of interest from the image based on some prior knowledge, provided by the user, such as seed point, partial labelling, bounding box, or other constraints. Semi-automatic interactive segmentation method consists of a variety of generic image cues and specific object feature detectors to facilitate acceptable results with minimal user effort.

## 2. PREVIOUS METHOD

Many efficient interactive segmentation algorithms have been proposed while the past few years. Generally, Interactive segmentation algorithm can be divided into two categories: boundary-based algorithm and region-based algorithm. Here is a brief introduction of the algorithm.

#### 2.1 Boundary-based algorithm

Active contour model (Kass, 1987), also called snakes, is a curve deformation method based on energy minimization. The algorithm evolves an initial contour to the desired boundary.

Intelligent scissors (Mortensen and Barrett, 1995), also known as livewire, is a semi-automatic image segmentation technique that allows user to select regions of interest to be extracted quickly and accurately, using simple mouse clicks. It is well known as magnetic lasso tool in Photoshop.

#### 2.2 Region-based algorithm

Region growing is a simple region-based segmentation method. The user clicks on the image to specific a seed point, and then the method examines neighbours of the seed point and determines whether the pixel neighbours should be added to the region. The process is iterated on until there is no change in two successive iterative stages.

Graph cut (Boykov, 2001) is a general purpose interactive segmentation technique that divides an image to two parts: "object" and "background". The user imposes some certain hard constraints for segmentation by indicating some object seed and some background seed. The rest of the image is segmented automatically by a global optimum among all segmentations satisfying the hard constraints.

Lazy snapping (Yin Li et al. 2004) is a novel coarse-to-fine tool for image cutout. It consists of two steps: a quick object marking step and a simple boundary editing step. The object marking step, working at a coarse scale, specifies the object of interest by a few marking lines. The simple boundary editing step, working at a finer scale, allows user to modify the object boundary by simple clicking and dragging polygon vertices.

GrabCut (Rother et al. 2004) is an interactive foreground and background segmentation method based on graph cut. Firstly, user specifies a bounding box around the object to be segmented. Secondly, each pixel is initialized with a GMM model. Finally, a min-cut/max-flow algorithm is applied to achieve optimal segmentation.

In this paper, we try to apply intelligent scissors and GrabCut on remote sensing images. Taking care of the characteristic of remote sensing images, a few changes have been taken in our implementation.

## 3. INTELLIGENT SCISSORS

## 3.1 Image transform

In order to implement intelligent scissors on remote sensing images, several steps are required. Firstly, if the image has more

than one band, it is necessary to transform the multi-band image to a single band image. We use these methods as follows:

-		
Situation	Method	Formula
Usually	The average value	$1\sum_{n=1}^{n}$
	of multi-band	$p = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{k=1}^{k} band(k)$
		$n \sum_{k=1}^{n}$
RGB Image	RGB image	Gray = R * 0.299
	to gray image	+G * 0.587
		+B * 0.114
Vegetation	NDVI (Normalized	NIR - R
extraction	Difference	$NDVI = \frac{1}{NIR + R}$
(with NIR)	Vegetation Index)	
Water area	NDWI (Normalized	G - NIR
extraction	Difference Water	$NDWI = \frac{1}{G + NIR}$
(with NIR)	Index)	G T T T

Table 1. Transform a multi-band image to a single band image

## 3.2 Graph building

Then the image goes through a Sobel filter so that edge features can be extracted. From the filtered image a graph is built by considering each pixel in the image as a node. Each node connects to its eight neighbours by edges. Each edge has a weight relating to the image local cost. Letting  $f_G(p,q)$ represents the local cost on the directed link from pixel p to a neighbouring pixel q, the local cost function is:

$$f_G(p,q) = \left(1 - \frac{G(q) - \min(G)}{\max(G) - \min(G)}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{distance(p,q)}$$
(1)

where G is the gradient magnitude.

Considering an image *T*, the horizontal and vertical derivative approximations  $G_x$  and  $G_y$  are defined respectively as the following convolutions with *T*:

$$G_{\chi} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & +1 \\ -2 & 0 & +2 \\ -1 & 0 & +1 \end{bmatrix} * T \text{ and } G_{y} = \begin{bmatrix} +1 & +2 & +1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} * T (2)$$

The following expressions are used to obtain the gradient magnitude:

$$G = \sqrt{G_x^2 + G_y^2} \tag{3}$$

#### 3.3 Algorithm flow

The algorithm would work as follows:

- (1) The user clicks on the seed point somewhere along the desired image boundary.
- (2) A graph would be built by the pixels in the square area, which takes the seed point as square centre, in the image. Empirically, the width of the square is 500 pixels.
- (3) Dijkstra's algorithm is used to find a minimum cost path from the seed point to every other pixel in the image.
- (4) As the mouse moves, the minimum cost path from the cursor position back to the last seed point is displayed.
- (5) The user clicks on the image boundary again to place another seed point, and the minimum cost path back to the last seed point is added to the boundary.
- (6) Repeated steps (2) to (5) until the path reaches the goal point or the start point.

## 4. GRABCUT ALGORITHM

Our implementation of GrabCut is as follows (Figure 1):



Figure 1. Our implementation of GrabCut

#### 4.1 Initialization

The paper (Rother et al. 2004) introduced an incomplete trimaps to increasing versatility of user interaction. In our implementation, each pixel would be marked as foreground, background, the most probably foreground or the most probably background. User draws a rectangle around the object to be segmented, the pixels inside the ractangle are marked as the most probably foreground and the outside is background.

Both the foreground GMM and the background GMM are taken to be a full-covariance Gaussian mixture with k components (typically k = 5). The foreground GMM is initialized with the pixel marked as foreground or the most probably foreground, and the background GMM is initialized with the pixel marked as background or the most probably background. Then the two models are initialized by k-means algorithm.

#### 4.2 Learning GMM components

Each pixel marked as foreground or the most probably foreground is assigned to the most likely Gaussian component in the foreground GMM. Similarly, pixel marked as background or the most probably background is assigned to the most likely background Gaussian component.

The new GMM parameters are learned from the pixel sets created in the previous step.

#### 4.3 Graph cut

The algorithm is computationally efficient, and it gives a globally optimal solution to the binary segmentation problem. In the approach, all pixels are connected by t-links to the additional two terminal nodes, called source and sink, respectively, as depicted in Figure 2.



Figure 2. A graph with two terminal nodes

The weights of these edges are computed as the equations (see Table 2), so that pixels are more compatible with foreground or background region get stronger connections to the respective source or sink. The n-links between adjacent pixels are assigned weights  $\omega(m, n)$ .

Point label	Terminal	weight
Foreground	Source	λ
	Sink	0
Background	Source	0
	Sink	λ
The most probably foreground	Source	$-\ln(p(m \mathcal{F}))$
& the most probably background	Sink	$-\ln(p(m \mathcal{B}))$

Table 2. The weights of t-links

where  $-\ln(p(m|\mathcal{F}))$  and  $-\ln(p(m|\mathcal{B}))$  are the likelihood that the pixel belongs to the foreground and background respectively.  $\lambda$  is a large constant value calculated as follows to ensure that it is the largest weight in the graph:

$$\beta = (2 * average(||z_m - z_n||^2)^{-1}$$
(4)

$$\omega(m,n) = \frac{\gamma}{distance(m,n)} \exp(-\beta ||z_m - z_n||^2) \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda = \max(\omega(m, n)) \tag{6}$$

where  $z_m$  is the colour of pixel m.  $\gamma$  is a constant number. Empirically, we used  $\gamma = 50$ .

A min-cut/max-flow algorithm (Boykov, 2004) on the graph with two terminal nodes is a portioning of the nodes in the graph into disjoint subsets S (source) and T (sink), such that the node in S is foreground and the node in T is background.

## 4.4 User editing

The user can specific some pixel to foreground, background, the most probably foreground or the most probably background by different mouse actions. Then we would restart the iterative process until we get a satisfied result.

#### 5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to test the applicability and stability of the methods, we study and analyse two basic types of features, including water area and vegetation. Figure 3-4 presents a water area extraction with our methods. Figure 5-6 presents a vegetation extraction with our methods. All these features are chosen from a WorldView-2 Image which is covering a test area in Hebei, China.

In Figure 3 and 5, (a) shows specifying the start point, (b) shows the contour tracing step and placing another seed point, (c) shows placing the last seed point, which is closed to the start point, and (d) is the final result shown as a vector boundary.

In Figure 4 and 6, (a) shows the initialization step by drawing a rectangle, (b) shows the first graph cut result and user editing step, (c) shows the last graph cut result, and (d) is the final result shown as a vector boundary.

Quantitatively, we made a statistics of the area of vector boundaries and the runtime when comparing our final results with manual results. The computer used in our experiments is configured as Intel 2.40 GHz CPU, 4 GB RAM.

	Water area	Vegetation	
Manual result	40902	64282	
Intelligent scissors	40525	63915	
GrabCut	40468	62424	
Table 3. The area of vector boundaries			

Water areaVegetationManual result42s29sUtilized40s40s

Intelligent scissors20s16sGrabCut45s60s

Table 4. The runtime of our experiments

As shown in Table 3, compared with the manual result, the methods described in this paper can maintain good feature boundaries, and the accuracy can reach more than 95%. As shown in Table 4, the method based on intelligent scissors is much faster than the manual processing, but the method based on GrabCut is slower than the manual processing due to the graph cut step, which runs in time nearly linear in the number of graph nodes.



(a) First seed point



(b) Middle seed point (c) Last seed point Figure 3. Water area extraction with intelligent scissors





(d) Final result



(a) Initialization



(a) First seed point





(b) User editing (c) Graph cut Figure 4. Water area extraction with GrabCut











(d)Final result



(d) Final result

(a) Initialization

(b) User editing (c) Graph cut Figure 6. Vegetation extraction with GrabCut

# 6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The paper has described two interactive segmentation methods for solving the problem of object extraction in remote sensing images. The first is based on intelligent scissors, while the other is based on GrabCut. The experimental results obtained on two different geographical categories in remote sensing images have shown that the proposed approach is easy to implement and effective in obtaining accurate boundaries.

Future work may include reducing the delay due to the graph build step in intelligent scissors and the graph cut step in GrabCut.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by: (1) the National Natural Science Foundation for Young Scientists of China (Project Nos. 41471299); (2) Central Public-interest Scientific Institution Basal Research Funds (Project Nos. 777161101 and 777161102). The interactive segmentation tools are integrated in the software FeatureStation, which is developed by the Chinese Academy of Surveying and Mapping.

# REFERENCES

Boykov, Y., Jolly, M. P., 2001. Interactive graph cuts for optimal boundary & region segmentation of objects in N-D images. ICCV, Proceedings. Eighth IEEE International Conference on IEEE, vol.1, pp. 105-112.

Kolmogorov, V., 2004. An experimental Boykov, Y., comparison of min-cut/max-flow algorithms for energy minimization in vision. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence, 26(9), pp. 1124-1137.

Boykov, Y., Funka-Lea, G., 2006. Graph cuts and efficient n-d image segmentation. International Journal of Computer Vision, 70(2), pp. 109-131.

Li, Y., et al. 2004. Lazy snapping. Acm Transactions on Graphics, 23(3), pp. 303-308.

Mortensen, E. N., Barrett, W. A., 1995. Intelligent scissors for image composition. Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, pp.191-198.

Kass, M. et al. 1987. Snakes: Active contour models. International Journal of Computer Vision, pp. 321-331.

Rother, C., et al. 2004. "GrabCut": interactive foreground extraction using iterated graph cuts. ACM SIGGRAPH, Vol.23, pp. 309-314.