AUTOMATIC BUILDING EXTRACTION USING A DECISION TREE OBJECT-BASED CLASSIFICATION ON JOINT USE OF AERIAL AND LIDAR DATA

R. Ghasemi Nejad¹, P. Pahlavani^{1*}, B. Bigdeli²

¹ School of Surveying and Geospatial Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran - (r.ghasemi, pahlavani)@ut.ac.ir

² School of Civil Engineering, Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, Iran - bigdeli@shahroodut.ac.ir

KEY WORDS: Building Extraction, Object-based, C4.5, Decision tree, Classification, Remote Sensing.

ABSTRACT:

Updating digital maps is a challenging task that has been considered for many years and the requirement of up-to-date urban maps is universal. One of the main procedures used in updating digital maps and spatial databases is building extraction which is an active research topic in remote sensing and object-based image analysis (OBIA). Since in building extraction field a full automatic system is not yet operational and cannot be implemented in a single step, experts are used to define classification rules based on a complex and subjective "trial-and-error" process. In this paper, a decision tree classification method called, C4.5, was adopted to construct an automatic model for building extraction based on the remote sensing data. In this method, a set of rules was derived automatically then a rule-based classification is applied to the remote sensing data include aerial and lidar images. The results of experiments showed that the obtained rules have exceptional predictive performance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Some of the previous researches in object extraction focused on pixel based image fusion and classification (Bigdeli et al. 2013, Bigdeli et al. 2016, Bigdeli et al. 2017, Pahlavani et al. 2017, Bigdeli et al. 2014). However, in recent decades, object-based image analysis (OBIA) create a new perspective in remote sensing image processing. Object-based classification is the approach that classifies no single pixels but groups of pixels called segments. In Object-based classification, remote sensing experts use a wide range of features to interpret images such as spectral information, texture, geometry, spatial relations, etc. However, the quality of a classification is not always increased by inserting a higher number of features (Bruzzone and Serpico 2000). Consequently, the quality of classification must be based on a subset of features identified due to their ability to recognize the classes and also depends on the classification method chosen by the expert. Traditionally, the classification is performed through the definition of rules by experts (e.g. a "Building" segment is a segment with a mean DSM value higher than a threshold). This process is usually done visually by an expert through a "trial-and-error" process and thresholds were derived empirically (Arvor, Saint-Geours et al. 2013). Therefore, the final accuracy of the classification depends too much on the expert knowledge and maybe two experts will define different rules for a same class and thus produce different maps. Therefore, the use of an automatic method to extract the rules seems essential.

From a decision tree model, the C4.5 decision tree algorithm, which is proven to be efficient, accurate and robust by many researches can produce a set of rules to make predictions (Ren, Zargham et al. 2006). With the aim of building extraction, this method is used in this paper to generate rules automatically from remote sensing data without expert intervention.

In the last decades, considerable attempts have been made to develop various methods for the detection of different types of objects in aerial and satellite images, especially buildings (Lhomme, He et al. 2009, Ahmadi, Zoej et al. 2010, Akçay and Aksoy 2010, Benedek, Descombes et al. 2011, Stankov and He 2014, Sun, Pahlavani et al. 2017, Zhang et al. 2018, Xu, Wu et al. 2018, Shi, Mao et al. 2019). With the increasing availability and wide utilization of high resolution imagery, object-based image analysis (OBIA) has become a new approach or paradigm to classify or map satellite images into meaningful objects (Teo and Chen 2004, Walter 2004, Blaschke 2010, Pang, Hu et al. 2014, Toure, Stow et al. 2016, Li, Zhang et al. 2018, Gavankar and Ghosh 2019). OBIA rests upon two interrelated methodological pillars, i.e. (1) segmentation for nested, scaled representations; (2) rule-based classifiers for making explicit the required spectral and geometrical properties.

Image segmentation is the main step and a necessary prerequisite for extracting building blocks. Numerous image segmentation techniques have been developed and applied in remote sensing image analysis, such as (Baatz and Schäpe 2000, Benz, Hofmann et al. 2004, Blaschke, Burnett et al. 2004, Gao, Mas et al. 2011, Drăguţ, Csillik et al. 2014, Ming, Li et al. 2015, Chen, Zheng et al. 2018, Huang, Meng et al. 2019).

Some studies, use rule-based classification to extract objects but in many cases the experts are then used to define classification rules, based on a subjective process by advising which features to select and which rules to apply (Liu, Wang et al. 2005, Yu, Gong et al. 2006, Zhou, Troy et al. 2008, Bouziani, Goita et al. 2010). In order to achieve more robust results in OBIA, it is necessary to extract rules by automatic methods. In some studies, rule-based via automatic methods are applied. For example, (Ren, Zargham et al. 2006, Zhang and Zhu 2011, Jumlesha, Babu et al. 2012, Arvor, Saint-Geours et al. 2013, Ziaei, Pradhan et al. 2014).

2. STUDY AREA AND DATA SELECTION

The ISPRS benchmark dataset of Potsdam (Germany) is an open asset dataset.

^{*} Corresponding author



Figure 1. The Potsdam dataset contain: a) true colour aerial image (RGB and IR), b) DSM, c) NDSM and d) corresponding ground truth

This data contains 38 patches, patch 4_11 is used in this paper which consists of the high-resolution ortho-rectified aerial image that has 4 channels: red, green, blue, and near-infrared bands. Digital surface model (DSM) is generated by dense image matching with pixel size 6000 \times 6000 at the spatial resolution of 5 cm. The ground truth labels are obtained by manual labelling (Sun, Zhang et al. 2018). In this paper NDSM is derived using an automatic filtering (Pahlavani, Amini Amirkolaee et al. 2017). Figure 1 illustrates this dataset.

3. METHODOLOGY AND EXPERIMENTS

Extraction of urban buildings with different colours, structures and textures is difficult for different methods, even the objectbased ones, to obtain a satisfactory result. Figure 2 shows the overall structure of proposed method for detection and extraction of buildings. To describe the proposed method, at first the study area was introduced and the suitable data were selected in Section 2. Then the procedure of segmentation is described in Section 3.1 and effective features are extracted in Section 3.2. Collecting train and test data is described in Section 3.3, then rules are produced using decision tree algorithm in Section 3.4. Finally according to the obtained rules, an object and rule-based classification methodology is applied to the dataset (Section 3.5) and is evaluated (Section 3.6).



Figure 2. Flowchart of the proposed methodology

3.1 Segmentation

Image segmentation is the process of partitioning a digital image into a sets of pixels (segments, also known as super pixels). This process is the first step in object-based image analysis and its accuracy affects the overall result (Li, Zhang et al. 2018). The multiresolution segmentation algorithm is probably the most popular one for the purpose of the delineation of relatively homogeneous and meaningful objects. This procedure minimizes the average heterogeneity and maximizes their respective homogeneity for a given number of image objects, (Li, Zhang et al. 2018). The performance of segmentation is controlled by the user defined parameters which are scale, shape and compactness. Users have to repeatedly select a set of segmentation parameters and test them through a trial-and-error process, until a satisfied segmentation result is achieved (Tong, Maxwell et al. 2012).

After several experiments, appropriate segmentation parameters were determined. They are set as 30, 0.5 and 0.5 respectively. The visual results of the data in two scales are depicted in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Multiresolution segmentation results in two scales.

3.2 Extraction of features

After the segmentation process, spectral and spatial features of the images are extracted. Indeed good classification system is conductive to selecting appropriate features or combinations of feature (Li, Zhang et al. 2018). Spectral features are related to all values of a segment, including metrics for maximum and minimum values of pixels or texture properties, while spatial features measure the shapes of objects-based, such as length and width.

In Table 1 and 3.3 Collecting train and test data

Name

Angle

Area

Description

Represents the main direction of

a region. It is retrieved by the

angle of the biggest radius of the

minimum circumscribing ellipse.

Returns the area of the region.

When measured in pixels is

equal to N.

Returns the bounding box area

Here is two classes: Building and Not_building. To collect train and test data the ground truth image is used. Some segments are selected randomly to label the training data and the corresponding feature values in that segments are selected as training values.

In this research, about 5% of pixels in each area were chosen as the training inputs and the rest (about 95%) were selected as testing samples.

Table 2 common spectral and spatial features extracted from the segments are listed, respectively. for more information about features visit (Körting, Fonseca et al. 2013).

Table 1. Spectral features extracted from the segments		Box area	of a region, measured in pixels.
Name Amplitude	Description Defines the maximum pixel value minus the minimum pixel value.	Circle	Relates the areas of the region and the smallest circumscribing circle. <i>R</i> stands
Dissimilarity Entropy	Measures how different are the Gary Level Co-occurrence Matrix		the centroid and all vertices.
	(GLCM) elements. Measures the disorder in an image.	Elliptic fit	circumscribing ellipse to the region and returns the ratio
	When the image is not uniform, many GLCM elements have small values resulting in large entropy		between the area and the ellipse area.
TT	Assumes higher values for smaller	Fractal dimension	Returns the fractal dimension of a region.
Homogeneity	differences in the GLCM.		Equals the average distance
Mean	Returns the average value for all <i>N</i> pixels inside the region.	Gyration	one region and its centroid.
Mode	Returns the most occurring value for all <i>N</i> pixels inside the region.	radius	values stand for regions similar to a circle.
standard deviation	Returns the standard deviation of all <i>N</i> pixels	Perimeter	It is the amount of pixels in the region's border
NDVI	normalized difference vegetation index		Is the ration between the regions are and the minimum rectangle
SAVI	Soil-adjusted vegetation index	Perimeter area ratio	outside the region. Higher values stand for regions similar to a
DSM	An elevation model that includes the tops of buildings, trees, and		rectangle.
NDSM	any other objects. difference between DSM and Digital Terrain Model (DTM)	Rectangular fit	are and the minimum rectangle outside the region. Higher values stand for regions similar to a
			rectangle.

3.3 Collecting train and test data

Here is two classes: Building and Not_building. To collect train and test data the ground truth image is used. Some segments are selected randomly to label the training data and the corresponding feature values in that segments are selected as training values.

In this research, about 5% of pixels in each area were chosen as the training inputs and the rest (about 95%) were selected as testing samples.

Table 2. Spatial features extracted from the segments

3.4 Rule Extraction

Width

Decision tree, one of popular classification methodologies, is capable of classifying a dataset, which is defined by several features. In classification a particular feature begins at the root node, and the appropriate branch to a descendent node is followed. This procedure is repeated until a leaf node is reached, which has a class label. It trying to create a simple and compact tree with few nodes and deciding which attribute

It is the width of the region's

bounding box.

(1)

should be used to split the training data set at each node such that it can create a simple model that explains the data appropriately. The C4.5 decision tree algorithm is considered to be a robust, efficient and accurate algorithm capable of generating simple and effective decision trees from which classification rules can be extracted (Ren, Zargham et al. 2006). C4.5 adopts entropy impurity and automatically selects the attribute that provides the highest information gain ratio as the splitting attribute such that the splitting attribute can partition the data set with the best improvement on purity. The equations for computing gain ratio are as follows (Han and Kamber 2006, Nugroho, Adji et al. 2018):

where

A = refer to an attributeD = refer to a datasetGain(A) = gain of each attributeSplitInfo A(D) = split attribute information

 $GainRatio(A) = \frac{Gain(A)}{SplitInfo_A(D)}$

SplitInfo $_A(D)$ can be calculated as follows:

$$SplitInfo_{A}(D) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{|D_{j}|}{|D|} \times \log_{2} \left(\frac{|D_{j}|}{|D|} \right)$$
(2)

where

v = the number of classes

D= the number of frequencies of the data instance $D_{j}=$ the number of frequencies in the j-th attribute

The equation for finding the gain is as follows:

$$Gain(A) = Info(D) - Info_{A}(D)$$
(3)

where

- Info (D) = the expected information needed to classify a tuple in D.
- $Info_A$ (D) = the expected information required to classify a tuple from D based on the partitioning by attribute A.

Info(D) and Info_A (D) have been expressed in equations (4) and (5), respectively:

$$Info(D) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log_2(p_i)$$
(4)
$$Info_A(D) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{|D_j|}{|D|} \times Info(D_j)$$
(5)

where

 p_i = the nonzero probability that an arbitrary tuple in D belongs to class C_i .



Figure 2. C4.5 decision tree classification model

Table 3. Extracted rules from decision tree

Rule No.	Rule Description		
Rule 1	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI > 0.103 & Shape_Index > 0.097 then Not building		
Rule 2	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI > 0.103 & Shape_Index ≤ 0.097 & NDVI > 0.260 then Not building		
Rule 3	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI > 0.103 & Shape_Index ≤ 0.097 & NDVI ≤ 0.260 then Building		
Rule 4	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI ≤ 0.103 & DSM> 44.258 then Building		
Rule 5	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI ≤ 0.103 & DSM ≤ 44.258 & Sum_Blue > 0.090 & DSM> 39.322 then Building		
Rule 6	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI ≤ 0.103 & DSM ≤ 44.258 & Sum_Blue > 0.090 & DSM ≤ 39.322 & Amplitude_Blue > 0.311 then Not building		
Rule 7	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI ≤ 0.103 & DSM ≤ 44.258 & Sum_Blue > 0.090 & DSM ≤ 39.322 & Amplitude _Blue ≤ 0.311 & NDSM> 62.477 & NDVI > 0.012 then Not building		
Rule 8	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI ≤ 0.103 & DSM ≤ 44.258 & Sum_Blue > 0.090 & DSM ≤ 39.322 & Amplitude_Blue ≤ 0.311 & NDSM> 62.477 & NDVI ≤ 0.012 then Building		
Rule 9	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI ≤ 0.103 & DSM ≤ 44.258 & Sum_Blue > 0.090 & DSM ≤ 39.322 & Amplitude_Blue ≤ 0.311 & NDSM ≤ 62.477 then Building		
Rule 10	IF NDSM> 28.786 & NDVI ≤ 0.103 & DSM ≤ 44.258 & Sum_Blue ≤ 0.090 & Box_area > 0.150 then Building		
Rule 11	IF NDSM ≤ 28.786 & Ratio_Green > 0.757 & Sum_Green > 0.080 then Building		
Rule 12	IF NDSM \leq 28.786 & Ratio_Green > 0.757 & Sum_Red \leq 0.080 then Not building		
Rule 13	IF NDSM ≤ 28.786 & Ratio_Green ≤ 0.757 & NDSM > 18.849 & Mode_Green > 0.433 then Building		
Rule 14	IF NDSM ≤ 28.786 & Ratio_Green ≤ 0.757 & NDSM > 18.849 & Mode_Green ≤ 0.433 then Not building		
Rule 15	IF NDSM \leq 28.786 & Ratio_Green \leq 0.757 & NDSM \leq 18.849 then Not building		
The decision	tree classification model is constructed from		

training data and is illustrated in Figure 2 then the generated rules are listed in Table 3.

3.5 Object and rule-based classification

According to the obtained rules the dataset is classified in two classes, Building (with red colour) and Not_building. The result of classification is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Extracted building from rule-based classification

3.6 Evaluation of classification

In the last step, the classification results are evaluated by using the overall accuracy and recall of each class. The overall accuracy of the classification was 96.43%, the recall of Building class is 93.84% and recall of Not_building class is 99.04% which seem that the results are reasonable.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an automatic framework to perform building extraction in object-based classification with remote sensing imagery is presented. The major contribution of this work is to avoid expert's role in generating rules by using a decision tree algorithm therefore the producer of defining appropriate rules become easier and more accurate.

REFERENCES

Ahmadi, S., M. V. Zoej, H. Ebadi, H. A. Moghaddam, A. Mohammadzadeh, 2010. "Automatic urban building boundary extraction from high resolution aerial images using an innovative model of active contours." International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation **12**(3): 150-157.

Akçay, H. G., S. Aksoy, 2010. Building detection using directional spatial constraints. 2010 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium.

Arvor, D., N. Saint-Geours, S. Dupuy, S. Andrés, L. Durieux, 2013. Identifying optimal classification rules for geographic object-based image analysis.

Baatz, M., A. Schäpe, 2000. "Multiresolution segmentation: an optimization approach for high quality multi-scale image segmentation." In: Strobl, J., T. Blaschke, and G. Griesebner. "Angewandte Geographische Informationsverarbeitung XII." Wichmann, Heidelberg.: 12-23.

Benedek, C., X. Descombes, J. Zerubia, 2011. "Building development monitoring in multitemporal remotely sensed image pairs with stochastic birth-death dynamics." IEEE

Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 34(1): 33-50.

Benz, U. C., P. Hofmann, G. Willhauck, I. Lingenfelder, M. Heynen, 2004. "Multi-resolution, object-oriented fuzzy analysis of remote sensing data for GIS-ready information." ISPRS Journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing **58**(3-4): 239-258.

Bigdeli, B., Samadzadegan, F., Reinartz, P., 2013. "Classifier fusion of hyperspectral and LIDAR remote sensing data for improvement of land cover classification," International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, SMPR 2013, Tehran, Iran

Bigdeli, B., Pahlavani, P., 2016. "High resolution multisensor fusion of SAR, optical and LiDAR data based on crisp vs. fuzzy and feature vs. decision ensemble systems", International journal of applied earth observation and geoinformation **52**(1): 126-136

Bigdeli, B., Pahlavani, P., 2017. "Quad-polarized synthetic aperture radar and multispectral data classification using classification and regression tree and support vector machinebased data fusion system." Journal of applied remote sensing **11**(1): 016007

Bigdeli, B., Samadzadegan, F., Reinartz, P., 2014. "Feature grouping based multiple fuzzy classifier system for fusion of hyperspectral and LiDAR data." Journal of applied remote sensing $\mathbf{8}(1)$: 083509

Blaschke, T., 2010. "Object based image analysis for remote sensing." ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing **65**(1): 2-16.

Blaschke, T., C. Burnett, A. Pekkarinen, 2004. Image segmentation methods for object-based analysis and classification. Remote sensing image analysis: Including the spatial domain, Springer: 211-236.

Bouziani, M., K. Goita, D.-C. He, 2010. "Rule-based classification of a very high resolution image in an urban environment using multispectral segmentation guided by cartographic data." IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing **48**(8): 3198-3211.

Bruzzone, L., S. B. Serpico, 2000. "A technique for feature selection in multiclass problems." International Journal of Remote Sensing **21**(3): 549-563.

Chen, J., H. Zheng, X. Lin, Y. Wu, M. Su, 2018. "A novel image segmentation method based on fast density clustering algorithm." Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence **73**: 92-110.

Drăguţ, L., O. Csillik, C. Eisank, D. Tiede, 2014. "Automated parameterisation for multi-scale image segmentation on multiple layers." ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing **88**: 119-127.

Gao, Y., J. F. Mas, N. Kerle, J. A. Navarrete Pacheco, 2011. "Optimal region growing segmentation and its effect on classification accuracy." International journal of remote sensing **32**(13): 3747-3763. Gavankar, N. L., S. K. Ghosh, 2019. "Object based building footprint detection from high resolution multispectral satellite image using K-means clustering algorithm and shape parameters." Geocarto International **34**(6): 626-643.

Han, J., M. Kamber, 2006. "Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques."

Huang, H., F. Meng, S. Zhou, F. Jiang, G. Manogaran, 2019. "Brain image segmentation based on FCM clustering algorithm and rough set." IEEE Access **7**: 12386-12396.

Jumlesha, S., S. Babu, C. Satyanarayana, M. Srinivas, M. Ramanaiah, S. Kumar, 2012. "Automatic urban feature extraction using mathematical morphology." Int J Eng Res Appl **2**(3): 221-225.

Körting, T. S., L. M. G. Fonseca, G. Câmara, 2013. "GeoDMA—Geographic data mining analyst." Computers & Geosciences **57**: 133-145.

Lhomme, S., D. C. He, C. Weber, D. Morin, 2009. "A new approach to building identification from very-high-spatial-resolution images." International Journal of Remote Sensing **30**(5): 1341-1354.

Li, R., T. Zhang, R. Geng, L. Wang, 2018. "Combining High Spatial Resolution Optical And Lidar Data for Object-Based Image Classification." International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences **42**: 3.

Liu, Z., J. Wang, W. Liu, 2005. Building extraction from high resolution imagery based on multi-scale object oriented classification and probabilistic Hough transform. Proceedings. 2005 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2005. IGARSS'05., IEEE.

Ming, D., J. Li, J. Wang, M. Zhang, 2015. "Scale parameter selection by spatial statistics for GeOBIA: Using mean-shift based multi-scale segmentation as an example." ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing **106**: 28-41.

Nugroho, H. W., T. B. Adji, N. A. Setiawan, 2018. Performance Improvement of C4. 5 Algorithm using Difference Values Nodes in Decision Tree. 2018 6th International Conference on Cyber and IT Service Management (CITSM), IEEE.

Pahlavani, P., H. Amini Amirkolaee, B. Bigdeli, 2017. "3D reconstruction of buildings from LiDAR data considering various types of roof structures." International journal of remote sensing **38**(5): 1451-1482.

Pahlavani, P., Bigdeli, B., 2017. "A mutual information-Dempster-Shafer based decision ensemble system for land cover classification of hyperspectral data." Frontiers of Earth Science, **11**(4): 774-783.

Pang, S., X. Hu, Z. Wang, Y. Lu, 2014. "Object-based analysis of airborne LiDAR data for building change detection." Remote Sensing 6(11): 10733-10749.

Ren, N., M. Zargham, S. Rahimi, 2006. "A decision tree-based classification approach to rule extraction for security analysis."

International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making **5**(01): 227-240.

Shi, W., Z. Mao, J. Liu, 2019. "Building area extraction from the high spatial resolution remote sensing imagery." Earth Science Informatics 12(1): 19-29.

Stankov, K., D.-C. He, 2014. "Detection of buildings in multispectral very high spatial resolution images using the percentage occupancy hit-or-miss transform." IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing **7**(10): 4069-4080.

Sun, Y., X. Zhang, X. Zhao, Q. Xin, 2018. "Extracting building boundaries from high resolution optical images and LiDAR data by integrating the convolutional neural network and the active contour model." Remote Sensing **10**(9): 1459.

Teo, T.-A., L.-C. Chen, 2004. Object-based building detection from LiDAR data and high resolution satellite imagery. Proceedings of the 25th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing.

Tong, H., T. Maxwell, Y. Zhang, V. Dey, 2012. "A supervised and fuzzy-based approach to determine optimal multi-resolution image segmentation parameters." Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing **78**(10): 1029-1044.

Toure, S., D. Stow, H.-c. Shih, L. Coulter, J. Weeks, R. Engstrom, A. Sandborn, 2016. "An object-based temporal inversion approach to urban land use change analysis." Remote Sensing Letters **7**(5): 503-512.

Walter, V., 2004. "Object-based classification of remote sensing data for change detection." ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing **58**(3): 225-238.

Xu, Y., L. Wu, Z. Xie, Z. Chen, 2018. "Building extraction in very high resolution remote sensing imagery using deep learning and guided filters." Remote Sensing **10**(1): 144. Yu, Q., P. Gong, N. Clinton, G. Biging, M. Kelly and D.

Schirokauer, 2006. "Object-based detailed vegetation classification with airborne high spatial resolution remote sensing imagery." Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing **72**(7): 799-811.

Zhang, R., D. Zhu, 2011. "Study of land cover classification based on knowledge rules using high-resolution remote sensing images." Expert Systems with Applications **38**(4): 3647-3652.

Zhou, W., A. Troy, M. Grove, 2008. "Object-based land cover classification and change analysis in the Baltimore metropolitan area using multitemporal high resolution remote sensing data." Sensors 8(3): 1613-1636.

Ziaei, Z., B. Pradhan, S. B. Mansor, 2014. "A rule-based parameter aided with object-based classification approach for extraction of building and roads from WorldView-2 images." Geocarto International **29**(5): 554-569.