The Land Cover Change Effect for Javan Rhinoceros Site Suitability

Riantini Virtriana^{a,b},*, Tania Septi Anggraini^{b,c}, Kalingga Titon Nur Ihsan^c, Dyah Ayu Retnowati^c, Pitri Rohayani^d, Agung Budi Harto^{a,b}

^a Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Sciences Research Group, Faculty of Earth Sciences and Technology, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung 40132, Indonesia;

^b Center for Remote Sensing, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung 40132, Indonesia;

^c Doctor Program of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, Faculty of Earth Sciences and Technology, Institut Teknologi Bandung,

Jl. Ganesha No.10, Bandung 40132, Indonesia;

^d Master Program of Civil Engineering, Tokyo University, Japan;

*Correspondence: virtriana@itb.ac.id

KEY WORDS: Javan rhinoceros, Site Suitability, Habitat, GIS, Land Cover Change

ABSTRACT:

The Javan rhinoceros (Rhinoceros sondaicus) is one of the endemic animals in Java, Indonesia, which is currently threatened with extinction and is included in the 25 species program as the top priority for the Indonesian government. In 2021 the Indonesian Ministry of Environment and Forestry said that only 75 Javan rhinos remained in Ujung Kulon National Park in Banten Province. Ujung Kulon National Park is the primary habitat of the Javan rhino, so it requires special attention to protect this habitat. One of the reasons for the reduced population of the Javan rhinoceros is the diminishing availability of habitat. Habitat reduction occurs due to changes in land cover due to human activities. This study aims to identify changes in the habitat suitability of the Javan rhinoceros due to human pressure. Parameters of human pressure will be identified using changes in land cover in 2000 and 2018. Remote sensing and GIS technology will be used to monitor habitat suitability for endemic animals over a large area and a long time. The Javan rhino habitat suitability analysis in 2000 and 2018 will integrate geographical, environmental, and meteorological parameters. The MCDA (Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis) method will determine a decision from several suitability parameters. Based on observations of human activities parameters, there have been significant changes to land cover from 2000-2018, especially in residential areas, which negatively impacted the suitability of the Javan Rhino's habitat. The results of this study can identify priority areas that require protective action for the Javan Rhinoceros habitat. This research is expected to be the basis for protecting endangered endemic animals, especially the Javan Rhinoceros, so their habitat is preserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Currently, Indonesia is experiencing an increase in its population every year. Based on statistical data from 2020-2022, the population growth rate in Indonesia is above 1% annually (BPS, 2022; Ihsan et al., 2022). One of the impacts of this population increase is changes in land cover (Biedemariam et al., 2022; Bucała, 2014). The growing population will drive the need for residential land, resulting in changes in land cover. Furthermore, other changes in land cover may occur due to human activities, leading to land use conversion.

The impacts of these land cover changes pose a threat to various aspects of the Earth's ecosystem, such as food security and agriculture (Virtriana, et al., 2022; Virtriana, et al., 2022), ecosystem services (Wu et al., 2020), natural disasters (Adnan et al., 2020; Tollan, 2002), climate change (Mantyka-Pringle et al., 2015), loss of wildlife habitats (Kessler et al., 2009; Plieninger, 2006), and others. One of the endangered species due to human pressures is the Javan Rhinoceros. The Javan Rhinoceros is an endemic animal to Java Island (Indonesia) and is currently listed as critically endangered by the Ministry of Environment and Forestry KLHK, (2021). In fact, as of 2021, KLHK (2021) reported that there are only around 75 Javan Rhinoceros individuals remaining in their original habitat.

There have been several previous studies related to the suitability of Javan Rhinoceros habitat. The first study is by Rahmat et al., (2008), which analyzed the suitability of Javan Rhinoceros habitat by observing the presence of Javan Rhinoceros at a certain location using linear regression method. The second study is conducted by Riba'i et al., (2015), which modeled the suitability of Javan Rhinoceros habitat using the Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) method in Cikepuh Wildlife Sanctuary, Banten, at a specific time. The third study is by Sumunar et al., (2019), which investigated the suitability of Javan Rhinoceros habitat in Ujung Kulon National Park using GIS method at a specific time.

Based on previous research, this study will focus on the impact of land cover changes on the loss of Javan Rhinoceros habitat, particularly in the Banten province . This study will identify the changes in Javan Rhinoceros habitat in the Banten Province in the years 2000 and 2018. The study will integrate various parameters, including geographic, environmental, and meteorological parameters, to identify the suitability of Javan Rhinoceros habitat at two different time periods. This study aims to determine the degraded areas of Javan Rhinoceros habitat over the past 18 years, providing a basis for the conservation of Javan Rhinoceros habitat in the future.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area

The study area is the province of Banten, located on the island of Java, Indonesia. The selection of this research area is due to the fact that the largest Javan Rhino conservation area in Indonesia is located in the province of Banten, specifically in the Ujung Kulon area.



Figure 1. Study area

2.2 Data

The data used in this study can generally be seen in Table 1. The land cover data is obtained from the Ministry of Environment and Forestry (KLHK, 2022) with a data scale of 1:250,000 and temporal data for the years 2000 and 2018. This land cover data will be used to calculate land cover changes that occur in the Banten region. Administrative data is obtained from the National Geospatial Information Agency (BIG, 2022) with a data scale of 1:250,000 and temporal data for the year 2017. The administrative data serves as the boundary for the study area. The Digital Elevation Model (DEM) will use data from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) with a spatial resolution of 30 m and a temporal resolution of 2008 (Jarvis et al., 2008). Temperature data will be obtained from the NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC with a spatial resolution of 1 km and an 8-day temporal resolution for the years 2000 and 2018 (Wan et al., 2021). Soil pH data will use data from (Hengl, 2018) with a spatial resolution of 250 m and temporal data for the years 2000 and 2018. Similar to the spatial and temporal resolution of soil pH, NDVI data will be obtained from the NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC (Didan, 2021). River data will be extracted from (BIG, 2022) with a scale of 1:50,000. Lastly, road data will be obtained from OpenStreetMap (OSM, 2022). The land cover data, DEM, temperature, soil pH, NDVI, rivers, and roads will be used for the analysis of Javan Rhinoceros habitat suitability in Banten. It is assumed that the administrative data, DEM, rivers, and roads have different temporal resolutions compared to other data or the study period because they are assumed to be static data that do not change over a certain period of time.

No	Data	Resolution	Temporal	Reference
1	Land Cover	1:250,000	2000-2018	(KLHK,
				2022)
2	Administrative	2017	1:	(BIG,
	Data		250.000	2022)
3	DEM	30m	2008	(Jarvis et
				al., 2008)
4	Temperature	1 Km	8 days	(Wan et al.,
			average,	2021)
			2000-2018	
5	Land pH	250 m	2000-2018	(Hengl,
				2018)
6	NDVI	250 m	2000-2018	(Didan,
				2021)
7	River	1:50,000	2012	(BIG,
				2022)
8	Road	-		(OSM,
				2022)

Table 1. Data

2.3 Methods

In determining changes in the level of suitability for Javanese ?rhino?bada, there are several stages carried out. The main stage in this study is to determine the appropriate location using multicriteria analysis. In multi criteria analysis will be integrated several different years of data to obtain the level of suitability for the Javan rhinoceros. In general, the method used can be seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2. General Methodology

2.3.1 Land Cover Change

The method for analyzing land cover changes will use overlay analysis, as illustrated in Figure 3.

A	A	A		A	в	в		A→A	А→В	А→В
В	в	в	÷	A	A	с	=	B→A	B→A	в→с
с	с	с		с	В	A		с→с	С→в	C→A

Figure 3. Overlay Analysis for GIS Data

Before conducting the overlay analysis, the land cover data (KLHK, 2022) needs to be simplified by reclassifying it. Generally, the reclassification of land cover data will involve 8 classes, including forest protected area, built-up area, meadow, plantation, wetland farming, dryland farming, and water body. Subsequently, this study will assign symbols to each land cover class, as illustrated in Table 2.

No	Land Cover	Symbol
1	Forest	F
2	Protected Area	PA
3	Built-Up Area	BA
4	Meadow	М
5	Plantation	PL
6	Wetland Farming	WF
7	Dryland Farming	DF
8	Water Body	WB

Table 2. Land Cover Symbol

2.3.2 Site Suitability for Rhinoceros Sondaicus Habitats:

In determining the appropriate location will be carried out with a multi-criteria analysis method (Riba'i et al., 2015). There are 10 parameters used in this study, namely height, slope slope, distance from the beach, air temperature, soil pH, NDVI, land cover, distance from rivers, distance from roads, distance from settlements. Then each data will be classified based on the criteria taken. The criteria are determined based on previous research. The scoring for each parameter used can be seen in table 3. After all of the data have score, the next step integrated all of the data. Next stage is making the integrated data into 5 suitability classes.

No	Data	Criteria	Score	Reference
1	Eleveation	< 100 m	3	(Rahmat et al., 2008;
		100-500 m	2	Riba'i et al., 2015)
		>500 m	1	
2	Slope	<4%	3	(Rahmat et al., 2008)
		4-8%	2	
		>8%	1	
3	Distance from	<400 m	1	(Rahmat et al., 2008)
	beach	400-800 m	2	
		>800 m	3	
4	Temperature	26-29 °C	3	(Riba'i et al., 2015)
		29-32 °C	2	
		T<26 or T>32	1	
		°C		
5	Land pH	4-5	3	(Rahmat et al., 2008;
		6-7	2	Riba'i et al., 2015)
		<4 or >7	1	
6	NDVI	>80%	3	(Riba'i et al., 2015)
		40-80%	2	
		<40%	1	
7	Land Cover	Forest	3	(Medhi and Saha,
		Non Forest	1	2014; Mukherjee et
				al., 2020)
8	Distance from	<1000 m	3	(Suheri et al., 2014;
	river	1000-2000 m	2	Sumunar et al., 2019)
		>2000 m	1	
9	Distance from	>300 m	3	(Medhi and Saha,
	the road	100-300 m	2	2014; Mukherjee et
		<100 m	1	al., 2020)
10	Distance from	>2000 m	3	
	built up area	1000-2000 m	2	(Medhi and Saha
		<1000 m	1	2014: van Merm
				2014, van ivierin, 2008)

Table 3. Site Suitability Parameter

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Land Cover Change

Figure 4 shows the land cover data for the years 2000 and 2018, as well as the land cover change results from 2000 to 2018 in the Banten Province. Additionally, Table 4 presents statistical results on the area of land cover change from 2000 to 2018 in the Banten Province, presented in a change matrix. These statistical results indicate the area in square kilometers.

In Figure 4(a) and 4(b), significant changes can be observed in the land cover class of settlements or built-up areas. There has been an increase in settlements in the northern regions of the Banten Province. Furthermore, noticeable decreases can be observed in the forest class in the southern regions of the province. Over the 18-year period, the land cover classes that experienced a decrease in area were F, PA, WF, PL, and M, with consecutive decreases of 304 km^2 (14.78%), 19 km^2 (6.78%), 521 km^2 (29.34%), 108 km^2 (19.67%), and 177 km^2 (76.96%). On the other hand, several land cover classes experienced an increase in area, namely DF, BA, and WB, with increases of 340 $\rm km^2$ (12.85%), 788 $\rm km^2$ (64.80%), and 2 $\rm km^2$ (1.67%).



Figure 4. Land Cover and Land Cover Change 2000-2018

Figure 4(c), 4(d), 4(e), 4(f), 4(g), 4(h), 4(i), and 4(j) respectively show the changes from one land cover class to another, namely F, PA, BA, M, PL, WF, DF, and WB. In Figure 4(c), it is noticeable that there are many changes from DF class to F class, amounting to approximately 36 km². Figure 4(d) shows no significant changes, but from Table 4, it can be seen that some WF areas changed to PA, approximately 7 km². The changes to BA class in Figure 4(e) are quite significant, with the largest change occurring from WF class, approximately 529 km². Figure 4(f) does not show significant changes to the M class. Figure 4(g) indicates numerous changes from F, M, and DF classes to the PL class. Figure 4(h) shows the number of land cover classes that changed to the WF class, with the largest changes occurring from BA and DF classes, approximately 127 km² and 157 km², respectively. Furthermore, Figure 4(i) displays the changes to the DF class, with the largest changes occurring from F, PL, and WF classes, approximately 254 km², 118 km², and 339 km², respectively. Lastly, Figure 4(j) shows no significant changes in the WB class over the 18-year period.

2018 (km ²)										
		F	PA	BA	М	PL	WF	DF	WB	Total
	F	1737	0	20	15	25	45	254	1	2097
	PA	1	251	8	0	0	9	10	1	280
2	BA	1	1	997	4	0	127	86	0	1216
0	Μ	0	0	42	24	40	25	99	0	230
0	PL	9	0	60	0	354	8	118	0	549
0	WF	9	7	529	0	0	882	339	10	1776
	DF	36	1	344	10	22	157	2076	0	2646
	WB	0	1	4	0	0	2	3	110	120
	Total	1793	261	2004	53	441	1255	2985	122	8914

Table 4. Land Cover and Land Cover Change Area 2000-2018

3.2 Site Suitability

In this study, the calculation of location suitability for Javan rhinos was carried out in two different years, namely 2000 and 2018. The results of the area of conformity in this study can be seen in Figure 5. Figure 5a is the level of suitability of the Javan rhino where the area marked box is the location of Ujung Kulon National Park where the place is a conservation place for Javan rhinos. In this area, it can be seen that the Ujung Kulon conservation area has a relatively high suitability index? When compared to the 2018 conformity in figure 5b, there was a decrease in conformity class in some locations.



Figure 5. Site Suitability (a) 2000, and (b) 2018

Overall changes in the level of regional suitability for Javan rhinos in Banten can be seen in table 5. It can be seen that there was a decrease in the level of regional suitability very high and high in 2018. This can happen because there is a change in land use in Banten City. One of the causes of land cover change is caused by the increasing number of people inhabiting the Banten area which pressures other types of land cover to be converted into settlements.

Suitability	2000 (ha)	2018 (ha)	Difference (ha)
Very Low	2498	1535	-963
Low	1776	3045	1269
Medium	1705	2778	1073
High	2022	1185	-837
Very High	873	321	-552

Table 5. Site Suitability Statistic

3.3 Limitation and Future Study

This study in determining the level of suitability in 2000, using data in 2018. This is due to the unavailability of research data. The data used is likely to change after 18 years. Road data that is likely to be changed after 18 years after construction. However, this can be covered by the use of land cover and built-up areas as an parameters in this study. In this study, we are not consider weight for each parameter used. In the future, weighting method can be used in determining each parameter to show the dominant parameter that used to determine the suitability area. This research also can be improved by predicting the condition of the area of suitability for rhinos in the future. So that it can be prepared to protect the Javan rhino conservation area.

4. CONCLUSION

This research focuses on modeling the suitability area of the Javan Rhinoceros habitat in the Banten Province, Indonesia, based on land cover changes that occurs from 2000 until 2018. The study reveals a continuous decrease in forested land each year. On the other hand, other land covers experienced

fluctuations due to human pressures. This poses a significant threat to the habitat of rare animals, particularly the Javan Rhinoceros. Based on the suitability results, there was a decline in suitability classes for the Javan Rhinoceros from 2000 to 2018. The very high and high suitability classes experienced a decline of up to 48% during the period from 2000 to 2018.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by LPPM-ITB under the Program Riset ITB 2023; 1583/IT1.C01/SK-TA.00/2023 grant scheme attributed to the Remote Sensing and GIS Research Group (KK-INSIG).

REFERENCES

- Adnan, M.S.G., Abdullah, A.Y.M., Dewan, A., Hall, J.W., 2020. The effects of changing land use and flood hazard on poverty in coastal Bangladesh. Land use policy 99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104868
- Biedemariam, M., Birhane, E., Demissie, B., Tadesse, T., Gebresamuel, G., Habtu, S., 2022. Ecosystem Service Values as Related to Land Use and Land Cover Changes in Ethiopia: A Review. Land (Basel). https://doi.org/10.3390/land11122212
- BIG, 2022. InaGeoportal [WWW Document]. URL https://tanahair.indonesia.go.id/portal-web (accessed 5.25.22).
- BPS, 2022. Laju Pertumbuhan Penduduk (Persen), 2020-2022 [WWW Document]. URL https://www.bps.go.id/indicator/12/1976/1/lajupertumbuhan-penduduk.html (accessed 5.1.23).
- Bucała, A., 2014. The impact of human activities on land use and land cover changes and environmental processes in the Gorce Mountains (Western Polish Carpathians) in the past 50 years. J Environ Manage 138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.01.036
- Didan, K., 2021. MODIS/Terra Vegetation Indices 16-Day L3 Global 250 m SIN Grid V061 [dataset]. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC.
- Hengl, T., 2018. Soil pH in H2O at 6 standard depths (0, 10, 30, 60, 100 and 200 cm) at 250 m resolution.
- Ihsan, K.T.N., Anantri, N.M.K., Syamsu, A.A., Mustika, F.C., 2022. PREDICTION of POPULATION DISTRIBUTION in 2030 USING the INTEGRATION of the CA-ANN LAND COVER CHANGE METHOD with NUMERIC KARAWANG-BEKASI, EXTRAPOLATION in INDONESIA, in: International Archives of the Sensing Spatial Remote and Photogrammetry, Information Sciences ISPRS Archives. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-Archives-XLVI-M-2-2022-111-2022
- Jarvis, A., Reuter, H.I., Nelson, A., Guevara, E., 2008. Holefilled SRTM for the globe Version 4. available from the CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90m Database (http://srtm. csi. cgiar. org) 15.
- Kessler, M., Abrahamczyk, S., Bos, M., Buchori, D., Putra, D.D., Gradstein, S.R., Höhn, P., Kluge, J., Orend, F., Pitopang, R., Saleh, S., Schulze, C.H., Sporn, S.G., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Tjitrosoedirdjo, S.S., Tscharntke, T., 2009. Alpha and beta diversity of plants and animals along a tropical land-use gradient. Ecological Applications 19. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1074.1
- KLHK, 2022. Data Spasial Pulau Jawa, Indonesia.
- KLHK, 2021. Populasi Badak Jawa Di Taman Nasional Ujung Kulon Meningkat.
- Mantyka-Pringle, C.S., Visconti, P., Di Marco, M., Martin, T.G., Rondinini, C., Rhodes, J.R., 2015. Climate change

modifies risk of global biodiversity loss due to land-cover change. Biol Conserv 187, 103–111.

- Medhi, A., Saha, A.K., 2014. Land Cover Change and Rhino Habitat Mapping of Kaziranga National Park, Assam. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54838-6_10
- Mukherjee, T., Sharma, L.K., Saha, G.K., Thakur, M., Chandra, K., 2020. Past, Present and Future: Combining habitat suitability and future landcover simulation for long-term conservation management of Indian rhino. Sci Rep 10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57547-0
- OSM, 2022. Geofabric [WWW Document]. URL https://download.geofabrik.de/ (accessed 1.25.23).
- Plieninger, T., 2006. Habitat loss, Fragmentation, and Alteration–Quantifying the Impactof Land-use Changes on a Spanish Dehesa Landscape by Use of Aerial Photography and GIS. Landsc Ecol 21, 91–105.
- Rahmat, U.M., Santosa, Y., Kartono, A.P., 2008. Analisis Preferensi Habitat Badak Jawa (Rhinoceros Sondaicus, Desmarest 1822) Di Taman Nasional Ujung Kulon. Jurnal Manajemen Hutan Tropika 14.
- Riba'i, Alikodra, H.S., Masu'ud, B., Rahmat, U.M., 2015. Tingkat Kesesuaian Suaka Margasatwa Cikepuh sebagai Habitat Kedua Badak Jawa (Rhinoceros sondaicus Desmarest, 1822). Media Konservasi 20.
- Suheri, H., Nakagoshi, N., Suwandana, E., 2014. Habitat suitability and assessment of corridors setup for Javan Gibbon conservation: A case study in Gunung Gede Pangrango National Park, Indonesia. Asian J Conserv Biol 3.
- Sumunar, D.R.S., Salsabila, M.A., Fitriana, S., Hamid, H.A., 2019. Landsat-8 Multispectral Satellite Imagery for Rhinoceros Sondaicus Habitat Spatial Distribution Modelling through Biophysical Parameters in Ujung Kulon National Park, Indonesia, in: IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/286/1/012044
- Tollan, A., 2002. Land-use change and floods: What do we need most, research or management?, in: Water Science and Technology. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2002.0176
- van Merm, R.H., 2008. Ecological and social aspects of reintroducing megafauna. Saxion Universities of Applied Sciences.
- Virtriana, R., Riqqi, A., Anggraini, T.S., Fauzan, K.N., Ihsan, K.T.N., Mustika, F.C., Atmaja, F.W., Suwardhi, D., Harto, A.B., Sakti, A.D., Deliar, A., Soeksmantono, B., Wikantika. K., 2022a. DEVELOPMENT of GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION INTEGRATED with AGRICULTURAL HAZARD BIG DATA to MONITORING in WEST JAVA, in: International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-Archives-XLVI-M-2-2022-209-2022
- Virtriana, R., Riqqi, A., Anggraini, T.S., Fauzan, K.N., Ihsan, K.T.N., Mustika, F.C., Suwardhi, D., Harto, A.B., Sakti, A.D., Deliar, A., Soeksmantono, B., Wikantika, K., 2022b. Development of Spatial Model for Food Security Prediction Using Remote Sensing Data in West Java, Indonesia. ISPRS Int J Geoinf 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi11050284
- Wan, Z., Hook, S., Hulley, G., 2021. MODIS/Terra Land Surface Temperature/Emissivity 8-Day L3 Global 1km SIN Grid V061 [Dataset]. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. Accessed 2023-02-07 from https://doi. org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD11A2.061.
- Wu, C., Chen, B., Huang, X., Dennis Wei, Y.H., 2020. Effect of land-use change and optimization on the ecosystem

service values of Jiangsu province, China. Ecol Indic 117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106507